Shortest Path Problem
Given: digraph $D=(V, A)$, node $r \in V$, arc costs $c_{a}, a \in A$.
Task: for each $v \in V$, find dipath from $r$ to $v$ of least cost (if one exists)
Remarks:

- Existence of $r$ - $v$-dipath can be checked, e. g., by breadth-first search.
- Ensure existence of $r$ - $v$-dipaths: add $\operatorname{arcs}(r, v)$ of suffic. large cost.

Basic idea behind all algorithms for solving shortest path problem:
If $y_{v}, v \in V$, is the least cost of a dipath from $r$ to $v$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{v}+c_{(v, w)} \geq y_{w} \quad \text { for all }(v, w) \in A . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

 L) triangular inequality

## Shortest Path Problem

Given: digraph $D=(V, A)$, node $r \in V$, arc costs $c_{a}, a \in A$.
Task: for each $v \in V$, find dipath from $r$ to $v$ of least cost (if one exists)

## Remarks:

- Existence of $r$ - $v$-dipath can be checked, e.g., by breadth-first search.
- Ensure existence of $r$ - $v$-dipaths: add arcs $(r, v)$ of suffic. large cost.


## Basic idea behind all algorithms for solving shortest path problem:

If $y_{v}, v \in V$, is the least cost of a dipath from $r$ to $v$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{v}+c_{(v, w)} \geq y_{w} \quad \text { for all }(v, w) \in A . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remarks:

- More generally, subpaths of shortest paths are shortest paths!


## Shortest Path Problem

Given: digraph $D=(V, A)$, node $r \in V$, arc costs $c_{a}, a \in A$.
Task: for each $v \in V$, find dipath from $r$ to $v$ of least cost (if one exists)

## Remarks:

- Existence of $r$ - $v$-dipath can be checked, e.g., by breadth-first search.
- Ensure existence of $r$ - $v$-dipaths: add arcs $(r, v)$ of suffic. large cost.


## Basic idea behind all algorithms for solving shortest path problem:

If $y_{v}, v \in V$, is the least cost of a dipath from $r$ to $v$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{v}+c_{(v, w)} \geq y_{w} \quad \text { for all }(v, w) \in A . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Remarks:

- More generally, subpaths of shortest paths are shortest paths!
- If there is a shortest $r$ - $v$-dipath for all $v \in V$, then there is a shortest path tree, i. e., a directed spanning tree $T$ rooted at $r$ such that the unique $r$ - $v$-dipath in $T$ is a least-cost $r$ - $v$-dipath in $D$.
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## Corollary 6.10.

If $y$ is a feasible potential with $y_{r}=0$ and $P$ an $r$ - $v$-dipath of cost $y_{v}$, then $P$ is a least-cost $r$ - $v$-dipath.
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Observation:
The algorithm does not terminate because of the negative-cost dicircuit.

## Validity of Ford's Algorithm

## Lemma 6.11.

If there is no negative-cost dicircuit, then at any stage of the algorithm:
a if $y_{v} \neq \infty$, then $y_{v}$ is the cost of some simple dipath from $r$ to $v$;
b if $p(v) \neq$ null, then $p$ defines a simple $r$ - $v$-dipath of cost at most $y_{v}$.
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## Theorem 6.12.

If there is no negative-cost dicircuit, then Ford's Algorithm terminates after a finite number of iterations. At termination, $y$ is a feasible potential with $y_{r}=0$ and, for each node $v \in V, p$ defines a least-cost $r$ - $v$-dipath.
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## Lemma 6.14.

If $c$ is integer-valued, $C:=2 \max _{a \in A}\left|c_{a}\right|+1$, and there is no negative-cost dicircuit, then Ford's Algorithm terminates after at most $C n^{2}$ iterations.

Proof: Exercise.

## Feasible Potentials and Linear Programming

As a consequence of Ford's Algorithm we get:
Theorem 6.15.
Let $D=(V, A)$ be a digraph, $r, s \in V$, and $c \in \mathbb{R}^{A}$. If, for every $v \in V$, there exists a least-cost dipath from $r$ to $v$, then

$$
\min \{c(P) \mid P \text { an } r \text {-s-dipath }\}=\max \left\{y_{s}-y_{r} \mid y \text { a feasible potential }\right\} .
$$
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$$
\min \{c(P) \mid P \text { an } r \text {-s-dipath }\}=\max \left\{y_{s}-y_{r} \mid y \text { a feasible potential }\right\} .
$$

Formulate the right-hand side as a linear program and consider the dual:
$\min c^{T} \cdot x$

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\max & y_{s}-y_{r} \\
\text { s.t. } & y_{w}-y_{v} \leq c_{(v, w)} \\
& \text { sot. }
\end{array} \sum_{a \in \delta^{-}(v)} x_{a}-\sum_{a \in \delta^{+}(v)} x_{a}=b_{v} \quad \forall v \in V
$$

with $b_{s}=1, b_{r}=-1$, and $b_{v}=0$ for all $v \notin\{r, s\}$.


