

Systems Issues in Mobile Computing

Douglas B. Terry

Xerox Palo Alto Research Center

© 1994 by Xerox Corporation.

Outline

- What is mobile computing?
- Mobile computing vs. distributed computing
- New research challenges
- Some examples of current research
 - Protocols for mobile hosts
 - Reducing power consumption
 - Context-aware computing
 - Weakly connected data sharing
- Conclusions

Mobile Computing

=

Portable Computers

+

Wireless Networks

Mobile Computing

=

Portable Computers

+

Modems

+

Telephone Network

Mobile Computing

=

Traditional Workstations

+

Traditional Wired Networks

+

Mobile Users

What's a Distributed Computer System?

Three major components:

- **Computers**
independent processing, memory, power
- **Network**
packet-switched or circuit-switched communication
- **Coordination**
what makes it a “system”

Mobile Computers

compared to workstations are:

- resource-challenged

- limited CPU capacity

- limited storage

- limited battery life

- less reliable

- more prone to destruction

- more prone to theft

Mobile Networks

compared to fixed networks are:

- resource-challenged
 - limited bandwidth
 - higher error rates
- more subject to network partitions
 - voluntary disconnection of laptops
 - limited geographic coverage
- less symmetrical
 - non-transitive connectivity
 - uplink vs. downlink bandwidth
- expensive

Observation #1: System design based on assumptions

Explicit or implicit

Intentional or unintentional

Such as:

- capacity

- bandwidth

- usage

- expected case

- failure modes

Observation #1: ...but current assumptions may be wrong


Example: TCP protocol used in the Internet

- Assumes: dropped/delayed packet => congestion
- Backs off when congestion detected then slowly adjusts transmission rate
- Is this the correct behavior in a mobile network?
No!

R. Caceres and L. Iftode. The Effects of Mobility on Reliable Transport Protocols. *Proc. 14th Int. Conf. on Distributed Computing Systems*, June 1994, pages 12-20.


Observation #2: Research based on trends

Traditionally:



Observation #2: ...but trends are different

with mobile computers:



Observation #3: Constants are now variables

Some things that used to be fixed but now vary:

- location
- environment
- connectivity
- bandwidth
- I/O devices
- security domain


Mobile Computing Systems

compared to traditional distributed systems must:

- Deal with wide variations in available resources, bandwidth, etc.
- Support heterogeneity
- Adapt to changes in the environment
- Handle network partitions and partial failures
- Accommodate multiple administrative domains
- Take scalability seriously

Research Thrust #1: Mobile IP

- Issue: Locating a mobile host
- Traditional solution: Name server



- Problems:
 - too expensive to look up address for each message
 - how to detect when destination moves?
 - existing protocols embed (sub)network in address


Example: Virtual IP (VIP)

- virtual network (VN) --> physical network (PN)
often VN=PN
- “home” network keeps track of location
mobile host must register
- can route packet through “home” network
- gateways can cache VN --> PN mappings
and use this to dynamically route packets

F. Teraoka, Y. Tokote, and M. Tokoro. A Network Architecture Providing Host Migration Transparency. *Proc. SIGCOMM '91*. September 1991, pages 209-220.

Research Thrust #2: Reduced Power Consumption

- Issue: Saving battery life
- Traditional approach: Plug in and ignore power consumption
- Problem: Can't plug in and remain mobile




Example: Database query processing

- New query processing cost models
- Optimize for energy usage rather than number of I/Os
- New metric: energy/transaction
- May accept approximate answers

R. Alonso and H. Korth. Database System Issues in Nomadic Computing. *Proc. SIGMOD Int. Conf. on Management of Data*, May 1993, pages 388-392.

Example: Adjusting CPU clock rate

- C^2 power savings with C speed reduction
- Want to avoid CPU idle time
- New metric: instructions/joule
- New CPU scheduling policies



M. Weiser, A. Demers, B. Welch, S. Shenker. Scheduling for Reduced CPU Energy. To appear in *Proc. Operating System Design and Implementation*, November 1994.

Research Thrust #3: Location-based Applications

A.k.a. Context-aware computing

- Issue: Informing applications of changes in their environment

So they can adjust their behavior


Whose around?

What's the nearest printer?

- Issue: Giving users control over their whereabouts and their information

Example: Ubiquitous message delivery

- Message delivery and display based on
 - location of recipient
 - identity of sender
 - importance of message
 - contents of message
 - nearby display terminals
 - others in the vicinity



M. Spreitzer and M. Theimer. Architectural Considerations for Scalable, Secure, Mobile Computing with Location Information. *Proc. 14th Int. Conf. on Distributed Computing Systems*, June 1994, pages 29-38.

Research Thrust #4: Weakly Connected Data Sharing

Issues:

- Supporting intermittently connected clients
- Providing acceptable levels of consistency
- Methods for detecting and resolving update conflicts
- Moving data “close” to users
- Effectively utilizing low bandwidth networks

Traditional approach: Strong consistency using atomic transactions


Example: Coda distributed file system

- Supports voluntary disconnection
 - client caching
 - weak consistency
 - automatic reintegration
- Supports involuntary disconnection
 - hoarding
 - what's the best cache replacement policy?

J. Kistler and M. Satyanarayanan. Disconnected Operation in the Coda File System. *ACM Trans. on Computer Systems*, February 1992, pages 3-25.

Example: Bayou replicated data manager

Issue: consistent data access for mobile clients



Example: Bayou replicated data manager (cont.)

- Session guarantees enable a client to observe a database that is consistent with its own actions
- Sessions permit control over the scope and selection of the guarantees
- Implementation tailored for mobile computers

D. Terry, A. Demers, K. Petersen, M. Spreitzer, M. Theimer, B. Welch. Session Guarantees for Weakly Consistent Replicated Data. *Proc. Parallel and Distributed Information Systems*, September 1994, pages 140-149.

Conclusions

- Mobile computing is hot!
- Driven by recent advances in
portable devices
wireless networks
- Existing distributed systems may be
based on false assumptions
insufficiently adaptable
- New (old) challenges exist in designing and
building mobile computing systems

Acknowledgments

Thanks go to my colleagues at PARC who contributed indirectly to this lecture through design discussions, hallway conversations, talks of their own, artifacts that I've used, etc.:

Norman Adams, Alan Demers, John Ellis, David Goldberg, Chris Kantarjiev, David Nichols, Karin Petersen, Bill Schilit, Mike Spreitzer, Marvin Theimer, Roy Want, Brent Welch, and others.

Special thanks to Mark Weiser, ubiquitous computing visionary and head of CSL.