# Ubiquitous Computing: Security

Topic 6

# Stajano and Ross's Resurrecting Duckling Protocol

# Consider a Ubicomp Environment with heterogeneous nodes

- Some nodes have more power (e.g. cell phones/lap top)
- Others have very limited embedded computing

## Stajano and Ross assume a wireless ad hoc network

### Security goals

- Availability is the node on hand when needed
- Authenticity to whom can a principle talk?
- Integrity ensuring that a node is not maliciously altered
- Confidentiality employ appropriate discretion with secrets

#### The constraints on the embedded nodes are:

- Peanut CPU (very limited processing)
- Battery Power
- High Latency

Hence the embedded nodes may not be able to use strong cryptography.

### Availability

# Availability is perhaps the most important constraint

- Since if the device is not available, do the other issues matter?
- Classical attack of radio frequency jamming
- New attack sleep deprivation torture attack
  - ▶ Listening for radio signals has high power consumption
  - ▶ Nodes need to sleep most of the time to conserve battery life
  - ▶ So attacker could send malicious frames in an attempt to get the nodes for (nearly) continuous listening
  - ▶ Defend by prioritizing tasks and reserving power (much like QoS)

# Authenticity

### Traditional approaches may use trusted third party

- e.g. key escrow
- Such approaches are not appropriate in ad hoc networks

# So how can devices recognize the owner/administrator?

- Assume that owner has a sort of "Universal Remote Control" which he keeps secured
- Secure transient association used when deploying devices
- Imprinting used to establish shared secret
  - ▶ Lorenz's ducklings which treat the first creature they see after hatching as their mother
- The shared secret treated like a soul, the device is the body
- Model change of ownership as death followed by birth
  - ▶ Old key is discarded
  - ▶ Device readied for new imprinting
  - ▶ Reverse metempsychosis.— process of body inhabited by a succession of souls (like posession)
- What if owner loses control?
  - ▶ e.g. universal remote control broken?

- ▶ Escrowed Seppuku Cause device to commit "suicide" using escrowed keys
- ▶ Alternatively Mother can back up the key
- ▶ Or Mother can partition the key into shares and distribute (using Rabin's approach)

# Must I always use weak encryption?

- No, during imprinting much of the hard work can be done on a more powerful node (key generation and signing)
- During connection establishment use strong encryption to configure the connection (which may mix strong and weak encryption)

#### Integrity

Integrity means ensuring that the node has not been maliciously altered.

# If you cannot afford signatures

- Checking the calibration of the devices is hard
- Use soft state to ensure that certificates expire
- Tamper resistence is not practical.
- Big Stick Priciple Whoever physically controls the device can take it over.

# What if Master delegates authority to a machine?

- Permanent master could just share private key
- But this has risks and can compromise security
- Need a mechanism for temporary delegation of authority
- So Parent can delegate authority and instruct duckling with a policy for backing up.

# In some cases we might want ducklings to die of loneliness

• I.e. if they don't receive reinforcement from siblings they shut down

#### Cocaine Auction Protocol

# Scenario - Auction where buyers and seller wish to maintain anonymity

- Seller should only be able to identify person making the winning bid after committing to the sale.
- The bidders should not be able to identify each other and the identity of the winning bidder should not be disclosed.

#### How does an auction work

- There are i rounds
- At the start of each round the seller announces bid price  $b_i$ .
- ullet Each bidder has  $\Delta t$  seconds to respond to the bid
- As soon as one buyer says yes, he wins the round and becomes winner of round  $i, w_i$
- If noone bids at round i the winner of the previous round  $w_{i-1}$  wins the auction.

# Cryptographic Details

Before the start of the protocol all bidders and the seller agree on a system wide generating value g and a modulus n for a Diffie-Hellman model.

- It is widely believed that computing discrete logarithms is hard.
  - $\triangleright$  computing  $g^x \mod n$  is believed much easier than estimating x given g and n
  - ▶ Is called a one-way function.
- However this is not known to be true.

#### The Protocol 1 of 2

### The auction proceeds in rounds, i denotes the current round

- Each round has a fixed duration (say  $\Delta t$ )
  - ▶ The seller broadcasts
    - $\triangleright$  The bid price for the round  $b_i$
    - ▶ The yes message of the winner of the previous round (if i > 1)  $g^{x_{i-1}} \mod n$ .
  - $\triangleright$  Each buyer, say buyer j, that is bidding in round i
    - $\triangleright$  Computes a nonce  $x_{j,i}$  this is a private key
    - $\triangleright$  Generates a yes message, consisting of a public key  $g^{x_{j,i}} \mod n$  and send it to the seller
    - ▶ Buyers not bidding are silent
  - ▶ At the end of a round of bidding, the seller counts the number of bids
    - $\triangleright$  Multiple yes bids pick an arbitrary bid (e.g. the first), pick it as the winner of this round  $w_i$ .
    - ightharpoonup Only one bid it wins the round and the auction and is from  $w_i$
    - $\triangleright$  No bids: if i=0 no sale (wouldn't meet minimum price), else  $w_{i-1}$  wins the auction.

#### The Protocol 2 of 2

# At the end of last round of bidding

- The seller remembers the public key of  $w_f$ , denoted  $g^{x_f} \mod n$ , winner's private key is  $x_f$ .
- The seller computes a nonce y (private key)
- The seller broadcasts  $g^y \mod n$  (public key)
- The buyer AND seller now us  $g^{x_f y} \mod n$  as their session key
- The seller broadcasts the transaction details encrypted with the session key  $g^{x_f y} \mod n$

# Bibliography