

Brief Announcement: Decidable Graph Languages by Mediated Population Protocols^{*}

Ioannis Chatzigiannakis, Othon Michail, and Paul G. Spirakis

Research Academic Computer Technology Institute (RACTI), and Computer Engineering and Informatics Department (CEID), University of Patras, 26500, Patras, Greece.

Email: {ichatz, michailo, spirakis}@cti.gr

Abstract. We work on an extension of the Population Protocol model of Angluin et al. [1] that allows edges of the communication graph, G , to have *states* that belong to a *constant size set*. In this extension, the so called Mediated Population Protocol model (MPP) [2, 3], both *uniformity* and *anonymity* are preserved. We here study a simplified version of MPP, the Graph Decision Mediated Population Protocol model (GDM), in order to capture MPP's ability to *decide graph languages*. We also prove some first impossibility results both for weakly connected and possibly disconnected communication graphs.

1 The GDM model

A *graph decision mediated population protocol* (GDM) \mathcal{A} consists of a *binary output alphabet* $Y = \{0, 1\}$, a finite set of *agent states* Q , an *agent output function* $O : Q \rightarrow Y$ mapping agent states to outputs, a finite set of *edge states* S , an *output instruction* r , a *transition function* $\delta : Q \times Q \times S \rightarrow Q \times Q \times S$, an *initial agent state* q_0 , and an *initial edge state* s_0 .

Let \mathcal{U} denote a *graph universe*, that is, any set of communication graphs. A *graph language* L is a subset of \mathcal{U} containing communication graphs sharing some common property. For example, a common graph universe is the set of all possible directed and weakly connected communication graphs, denoted by \mathcal{G} , and $L = \{G \in \mathcal{G} \mid |E(G)| \text{ is even}\}$ is a possible graph language w.r.t. \mathcal{G} .

A GDM protocol may run on any graph from a specified graph universe. The graph on which the protocol runs is considered as the *input graph* of the protocol. Note that GDM protocols have no sensed input. Instead, we require each agent in the population to be initially in the initial agent state q_0 and each edge of the communication graph to be initially in the initial edge state s_0 . So, the initial network configuration, C_0 , of any GDM is defined as $C_0(u) = q_0$, for all $u \in V$, and $C_0(e) = s_0$, for all $e \in E$, and any input graph $G = (V, E)$.

We say that a GDM \mathcal{A} *accepts* an input graph G if in any computation of \mathcal{A} on G after finitely many interactions all agents output the value 1 and continue

^{*} This work has been partially supported by the ICT Programme of the European Union under contract number ICT-2008-215270 (FRONTS).

doing so in all subsequent (infinite) computational steps. By replacing 1 with 0 we get the definition of the *reject* case. A GDM \mathcal{A} *decides* a graph language $L \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ if it accepts any $G \in L$ and rejects any $G \notin L$, and a graph language is said to be *decidable* if some GDM decides it.

Theorem 1. *The class of decidable graph languages is closed under complement, union and intersection operations.*

Node and edge parity, bounded out-degree by a constant, existence of a node with more incoming than outgoing neighbors, and existence of some directed path of length at least $k = \mathcal{O}(1)$ are some examples of decidable graph languages, in the case where the graph universe is \mathcal{G} . Also, given that the graph universe is \mathcal{G} one can prove the following.

Theorem 2. *There exists no GDM with stabilizing states to decide the graph language $2C = \{G \in \mathcal{G} \mid G \text{ has at least two nodes } u, v \text{ s.t. both } (u, v), (v, u) \in E(G) \text{ (in other words, } G \text{ has at least one 2-cycle)}\}$.*

In the case where the graph universe is \mathcal{H} , containing all possible directed communication graphs (i.e. also the disconnected ones), we obtain the following strong impossibility results.

Lemma 1. *For any nontrivial graph language L (L is nontrivial if $L \neq \emptyset$ and $L \neq \mathcal{H}$), there exists some disconnected graph G in L where at least one component of G does not belong to L or there exists some disconnected graph G' in \bar{L} where at least one component of G' does not belong to \bar{L} (or both).*

Theorem 3. *Any nontrivial graph language $L \subset \mathcal{H}$ is undecidable by GDM.*

Corollary 1. *The graph language $C = \{G \in \mathcal{H} \mid G \text{ is (weakly) connected}\}$ is undecidable.*

Proof. C is a nontrivial graph language and Theorem 3 applies. □

A full version of this paper is available at <http://fronts.cti.gr/aigaion/?TR=80>

References

1. D. Angluin, J. Aspnes, Z. Diamadi, M.J. Fischer, and R. Peralta. Computation in networks of passively mobile finite-state sensors. In *23rd Annual ACM Symposium on Principles of Distributed Computing (PODC)*, pages 290-299, New York, NY, USA, 2004. ACM.
2. I. Chatzigiannakis, O. Michail, and P. G. Spirakis. Mediated Population Protocols. In *36th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages and Programming (ICALP)*, pages 363-374, Rhodes, Greece, 2009. (Also FRONTS Technical Report FRONTS-TR-2009-8, <http://fronts.cti.gr/aigaion/?TR=65>)
3. I. Chatzigiannakis, O. Michail, and P. G. Spirakis. Recent Advances in Population Protocols. In *34th International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science (MFCS)*, pages 56-76, Novy Smokovec, High Tatras, Slovak Republic, 2009. (Also FRONTS Technical Report FRONTS-TR-2009-21, <http://fronts.cti.gr/aigaion/?TR=85>)